arXiv:gr-qc/9812070v2 25 Apr 2003

The Universe With Bulk Viscosity

Arbab I. Arbab[1]
Department of Physics, Teacher's College, Riyadh 11491, P.O.Box 4341, Saudi Arabia
Comboni College for Computer Science, P.O. Box 114, Khartoum, Sudan

Abstract

Exact solutions for a model with variable G, Λ and bulk viscosity are obtained. Inflationary solutions with constant (de Sitter-type) and variable energy density are found. An expanding anisotropic universe is found to isotropize during its course of expansion but a static universe is not. The gravitational constant is found to increase with time and the cosmological constant decreases with time as $\Lambda \propto t^{-2}$.
Variable G, Λ; Bianchi models; Bulk viscosity; Inflation

1 Introduction

In a recent paper, Kalligas, Wesson and Everitt (Kalligas *et al* 1995) have investigated a flat model with variable gravitational (G) and cosmological (Λ) "constants". In the same line, Singh *et al.* (Singh *et al* 1998) have considered a viscous cosmological model with variable G and Λ. They considered a different energy conservation law from that of Arbab (Arbab 1997) but, they have found similar solutions as in (Arbab 1997). Very recently, we have studied Bianchi type I model and we have shown that the universe isotropize during its course of expansion (Arbab 1998). With a similar approach, we wish to study the effect of anisotropy in the universe with the energy conservation advocated by Singh *et al.* where G and Λ vary with time. We have shown that the introduction of bulk viscosity enriches this cosmology.
Kalligas *et al.* have found solutions for a static universe with zero total energy density while G and Λ are allowed to vary with time. However, their solution, does not seem to be physically sensible, since one does expect G to vary with time in an empty universe !
In the present case, we show that the static universe must be empty and has a vanishing cosmological constant (Λ) and bulk viscosity (η). We have also shown that the presence of viscosity helps an anisotropic universe to isotropize with expansion. We have also obtained solutions with constant and variable energy density that correspond to either static or inflationary universe. We remark that these solutions do not hold for our earlier work (Arbab 1998). The gravitational constant is found to increase with time. For a universe in balance (flat) expansion must accelerate in order to overcome future collapse. The presently observed acceleration of the universe is justified in the present models.

2 SOLUTIONS FOR THE ISOTROPIC UNIVERSE

In a flat Robertson Walker metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - R^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin\theta^2 d\phi^2) \tag{1}$$

Einstein's field equations with time-dependent G and Λ read (Weinberg 1971)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R} = 8\pi G(t) T_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda(t) g_{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

The variation of the gravitational constant was first suggested by Dirac (Dirac 1937) in an attempt to understand the appearance of very large numbers, when atomic and cosmic worlds are compared.

[1] arbab@ictp.trieste.it

He postulated that the gravitational constant (G) decreases inversely with cosmic time. On the other hand, Einstein introduced the cosmological constant (Λ) to account for a stable static universe, as appeared to him at that time. When he later knew of the universe expansion he regretted its inclusion in his field equations. Now cosmologists believe that Λ is not identically but very close to zero. They relate this constant to the vacuum energy that first inflated our universe making it expanding. A vacuum energy could correspond to, from a point view of particle physics, a residue of some form of quantum field that is diluted to its presently small value. However, other cosmologists dictate a time variation of this constant in order to account for its present smallness. The variation of this constant could resolve some of the standard model problems. Like G the constant Λ is a gravity coupling and both should therefore be treated on an equal footing. A proper way in which G varies is incorporated in the Brans-Dicke theory (Brans & Dicke 1961). In this theory G is related to a scalar field that shares the long range interaction with gravity. In the literature Λ takes several ansatz, like $\Lambda \propto R^{-2}$, $\Lambda \propto H^2$, $\Lambda \propto 8\pi G\rho$, etc, and with different reasoning (Overdin & Cooperstock 1998 and Sahni & Starobinsky 1999). In the present work, we allow the variation of G to be cancelled by the variation of Λ (Beesham 1986, Abdel Rahman 1990, Pande 2000 Bonanno & Reuter 2002).
Considering the imperfect-fluid energy momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p^*)u_\mu u_\nu - p^* g_{\mu\nu} \tag{3}$$

eq.(2) yields the two independent equations

$$3\left(\frac{\ddot{R}}{R}\right) = -4\pi G(3p^* + \rho) + \Lambda \quad , \tag{4}$$

and

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = 8\pi G\rho + \Lambda \ . \tag{5}$$

Elimination of $\ddot{R}$ and the differentiated form of eq.(5) gives

$$3(p^* + \rho)\dot{R} = -\left(\frac{\dot{G}}{G}\rho + \dot{\rho} + \frac{\dot{\Lambda}}{8\pi G}\right) R \tag{6}$$

where a dot denotes differentiation with respect to time t and $p^* = p - 3\eta H$, η being the coefficient of bulk viscosity, H the Hubble constant. The equation of state relates the pressure (p) and the energy density (ρ) of the cosmic fluid by the equation

$$p = (\gamma - 1)\rho \tag{7}$$

where γ = constant. Vanishing of the covariant divergence of the Einstein tensor in eq.(2) and the usual energy-momentum conservation relation ($T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$) lead to

$$8\pi\dot{G}\rho + \dot{\Lambda} = 0 \ , \tag{8}$$

and

$$\dot{\rho} + 3(p^* + \rho)H = 0, \tag{9}$$

or

$$\dot{\rho} + 3(p + \rho)H = 9\eta H^2 \ . \tag{10}$$

We see that the bulk viscosity appears as a source term in the energy conservation equation. Hence the RHS of eq.(10) would correspond to the rate of thermal energy generated due to viscosity. This may help solve the generation of entropy in the universe associated with the standard model of cosmology.

In this paper we will consider the very special ansatzs (Arbab 1997)

$$\Lambda = 3\beta H^2, \quad \beta = \text{const.} \ , \tag{11}$$

and

$$\eta = \eta_0 \rho^n, \qquad \eta_0 \geq 0,\ n = \text{const.} \tag{12}$$

We have shown very recently that eq.(11) is equivalent to writing Λ as $\Lambda = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-3}\right) 4\pi G\rho$ for a non-viscous model (Arbab 2002). This form is interesting since it relates the vacuum energy directly to matter content in the universe. Hence, any change in ρ will immediately imply a change in Λ, i.e. if ρ varies with cosmic time then Λ also varies with cosmic time.

In what follows we will discuss the solution of the model equations for an isotropic and an anisotropic universe with the above prescription for Λ and η.

2.1 Solution with constant energy density

One can satisfy eq.(10) with a constant energy density ($\rho = \text{const}$) with:
(i) $H = 0$, which implies a static universe.
(ii) $\eta = \eta_0 \rho$ (i.e. $n = 1$), $H = \frac{\gamma}{3\eta_0} = \text{const.}$. The solution of this equation is of the form $R = \text{const.}\exp(\mathrm{Ht})$. We remark here that the classical inflation with an equation of state $p = -\rho$ is not permitted in this model.

2.2 Solution with variable energy density

Inflationary solution with variable energy density
Consider the bulk viscosity to have the form $\eta = \eta_0 \rho$ (i.e. $n = 1$). With $H = H_0 < \frac{\gamma}{3\eta_0}$ we have $R = \text{const.}\exp(\mathrm{H_0 t})$ so that eq.(10) yields a decaying mode of the energy density given by

$$\rho = F \exp -3H_0(\gamma - 3\eta_0 H_0)t\ , \qquad F = \text{const.}. \tag{13}$$

Now consider the case $\beta = 1$.
Equations (5), (8) and (11) yield

$$\Lambda = \text{const.}\ , \qquad \mathrm{G} = 0 \tag{14}$$

We remark that this solution is not possible within the framework of the conventional inflationary models. It is however remarked by Abdel Rahman (Abdel Rahman 1990) that one possible interpretation of eq.(14) is that the universe came to being just prior to the onset of gravity at $t = 0$ as a result of a vacuum fluctuation propelled by the repulsive effect of the positive cosmological constant.

3 SOLUTIONS FOR AN ANISOTROPIC UNIVERSE

For the Bianchi type I metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - R_1^2 dx^2 - R_2^2 dy^2 - R_3^2 dz^2 \tag{15}$$

with an imperfect-fluid energy momentum tensor, Einstein's field equations yield (Arbab 1998)

$$\frac{\dot{R}_1\dot{R}_2}{R_1R_2} + \frac{\dot{R}_1\dot{R}_3}{R_1R_3} + \frac{\dot{R}_2\dot{R}_3}{R_2R_3} = -8\pi G\rho - \Lambda\ , \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\dot{R}_1\dot{R}_2}{R_1R_2} + \frac{\ddot{R}_1}{R_1} + \frac{\ddot{R}_2}{R_2} = 8\pi G p^* - \Lambda\ \ , \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\dot{R}_1\dot{R}_3}{R_1R_3} + \frac{\ddot{R}_1}{R_1} + \frac{\ddot{R}_3}{R_3} = 8\pi G p^* - \Lambda\ \ , \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\dot{R}_2\dot{R}_3}{R_2R_3} + \frac{\ddot{R}_2}{R_2} + \frac{\ddot{R}_3}{R_3} = 8\pi G p^* - \Lambda\ \ , \tag{19}$$

and

$$8\pi\dot{G}\rho + \dot{\Lambda} + 8\pi G\left[\dot{\rho} + (\rho + p^*)\left(\frac{\dot{R}_1}{R_1} + \frac{\dot{R}_2}{R_2} + \frac{\dot{R}_3}{R_3}\right)\right] = 0 \ . \tag{20}$$

where a dot denotes differentiation with respect to time t. From eqs.(16)-(20) one obtains

$$\frac{\ddot{R}_1}{R_1} + \frac{\ddot{R}_2}{R_2} + \frac{\ddot{R}_3}{R_3} = 4\pi G(\rho + 3p^*) - \Lambda \ . \tag{21}$$

where $p^* = p - 3\eta H$. The energy conservation ($T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$) implies that

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p^*) = 0 \ , \tag{22}$$

or

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) - 9\eta H^2 = 0 \ . \tag{23}$$

Here we define the average scale factor R by $R \equiv (R_1 R_2 R_3)^{1/3}$ so that

$$H = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{R}_1}{R_1} + \frac{\dot{R}_2}{R_2} + \frac{\dot{R}_3}{R_3}\right). \tag{24}$$

Using eqs.(22) and (24), eq.(20) yields

$$\dot{\Lambda} + 8\pi\dot{G}\rho = 0 \ \ . \tag{25}$$

Let us now assume that the energy density is given by the power law

$$\rho = At^m, \quad A = \text{const.} \ , \quad \text{m} = \ \text{const.} \ , \tag{26}$$

and the average scale factor

$$R = Bt^\alpha, \quad \alpha = \text{const.} \ , \quad \text{B} = \text{const.}. \tag{27}$$

Substituting eqs.(26) and (27) in (23), (11) and (25) one gets

$$\rho = At^{-1/(1-n)}, \tag{28}$$

$$\Lambda = 3\alpha\beta t^{-2} \ \ , \tag{29}$$

$$G = \frac{3\beta\alpha^2(1-n)}{4\pi A(2n-1)} t^{(2n-1)/(1-n)} \ , \quad n \neq \frac{1}{2} \ \ , \ 1 \ . \tag{30}$$

and the condition $m = \frac{-1}{1-n}$. For physical significance $G > 0$ so that $n > \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that the gravitational constant is an ever-increasing function of time. Consequently, for a flat (balanced) universe the expansion must increase (accelerate) so that the universe can remain in balance. Thus, the presently observed acceleration of the universe may be attributed to this ever growing gravity instead of invoking any exotic matter.
We now consider the anisotropy energy (σ) defined by

$$8\pi G\sigma = \left(\frac{\dot{R}_1}{R_1} - \frac{\dot{R}_2}{R_2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{R}_1}{R_1} - \frac{\dot{R}_3}{R_3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{R}_2}{R_2} - \frac{\dot{R}_3}{R_3}\right)^2 \ . \tag{31}$$

Using eqs.(5), (16) and (24), the above equation becomes

$$8\pi G\sigma = 18\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 + 48\pi G\rho + 6\Lambda \ . \tag{32}$$

We see that the anisotropy energy (σ) becomes

$$8\pi G\sigma = Dt^{-2}, \quad D = \ \text{const.} \ , \tag{33}$$

a result that has been obtained by Arbab (Arbab 1998).

3.1 Constant energy solution

Using eq.(12), eq.(23) can be written in the form

$$\dot{\rho} + 3\gamma H\rho = 9\eta_0 \rho^n H^2 \ . \tag{34}$$

Now consider the following two cases:
(i) *Static universe* ($H = 0$, $R =$ const.).
The above equation yields $\rho =$ const, and eqs. (11) and (25) yield $\Lambda = 0$ and $G =$ const.. It follows from eqs. (5) and (32) that the anisotropy energy $\sigma = 0$. It is shown by Kalligas *et al.* (Kalligas *et al.* 1995) that a static universe can only be isotropic, i.e., $\sigma = 0$. However, a static universe with constant energy density can not exist unless $G = 0$, as is evident from eq.(5). Hence, only an empty static universe can exist.
We remark here that the claim made by Kalligas *et al.* that a universe with a vanishing total energy density has G and Λ vary with time is physically nonsensical.
(ii) *Inflationary universe with constant energy density* ($\rho =$ const. , $H =$ const., n = 1)
$H = H_0 =$ const. implies $R =$ const.exp(H_0t). Equation (34) gives $H_0 = \frac{\gamma}{3\eta_0}$.
Hence, eqs.(11), (25) and (32) give $\Lambda =$ const., $G =$ const. and $\sigma = \left(\frac{9H_0^2}{2\pi G}\right) = \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2\pi\eta_0^2 G}\right)$, whether $\Lambda = 0$ or not.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have studied both isotropic and anisotropic models with variable G, Λ and bulk viscosity. We have found that energy conservation is guaranteed provided the three scalars, G, Λ and η conspire to satisfy it. We have found that a constant energy density would lead to either a static or inflationary universe. We have found that the classical inflation of an equation of state $p = -\rho$ is not permitted. Inflationary solutions with constant and variable energy density are found. These solutions are influenced by the presence of the bulk viscosity. Such a solution is triggered by the presence of the bulk viscosity alone. An initially expanding anisotropic universe is found to isotropize as it evolves. However, a static empty universe must be isotropic. This may explain why the present universe is very isotropic. Finally, we have found that the gravitational constant to increase with time in radiation-dominated ($\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$) and matter-dominated ($\gamma = 1$) epochs. The presently observed acceleration of the universe may be interpreted as due to a balance between expansion and gravity. And since gravity increases expansion must accelerate!

References

Abdel Rahman, A.-M.M., (1990). Gen. Rel. Gravit. 22, 655.
Arbab, A.I., (1997). Gen. Rel. Gravit. 29, 61.
Arbab, A.I., (1998). Gen. Rel. Gravit. 30, 1401.
Arbab, A.I., (2003). Class. Quantum Grav. 20, 93.
Beesham, A. (1986). IJTP, 25, 1295, (1986). Nouvo Cimento B96, 17.
Bonanno, A., and M. Reuter., (2002). xxx.arXiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0210472.
Brans, C., and Dicke, R.H., (1961). Phys. Rev. 124, 925.
Dirac, P.A.M., (1937). Nature 139, 323.
Kalligas, D., Wesson, D.P.S., and Everitt, C.W.F. (1995). Gen. Rel. Gravit. 27, 645.
Overdin, J. M., and Cooperstock, F.I., 1998. Phys. Rev. D58, 043506.
Pande, H.D., *et al.*, (2000). Indian J. pure appl. Math. 32, 161.
Sahni, V., and Starobinsky, A., 1999. Los Alamos preprint astro-ph/9904398
Singh, T., Beesham, A., and Mbokazi, W.S., (1998). Gen. Rel. Gravit. 30, 573.
Weinberg, S. (1971). *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, New York).